

# The experience of the RePEc Plagiarism Committee in economics

Christian Zimmermann  
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, IZA, CESifo, RCEA<sup>1</sup>

Brno 2015 plagiarism workshop

---

<sup>1</sup>The views expressed are those of individual authors and do not necessarily reflect official positions of the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, the Federal Reserve System, or the Board of Governors.

- ▶ What is RePEc?

# The Plan

- ▶ What is RePEc?
- ▶ Why a plagiarism committee?

# The Plan

- ▶ What is RePEc?
- ▶ Why a plagiarism committee?
- ▶ How to make it work?

# The Plan

- ▶ What is RePEc?
- ▶ Why a plagiarism committee?
- ▶ How to make it work?
- ▶ The experience so far

# What is RePEc?

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)



# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)
- ▶ 1800 publishers, 2200 journals, 4200 pre-print serials

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)
- ▶ 1800 publishers, 2200 journals, 4200 pre-print serials
- ▶ 44,000 registered authors

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)
- ▶ 1800 publishers, 2200 journals, 4200 pre-print serials
- ▶ 44,000 registered authors
- ▶ Various internet-based services

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)
- ▶ 1800 publishers, 2200 journals, 4200 pre-print serials
- ▶ 44,000 registered authors
- ▶ Various internet-based services
- ▶ Free

# What is RePEc?

- ▶ **R**esearch **P**apers in **E**conomics
- ▶ Volunteer initiative since 1997 (1992)
- ▶ 1800 publishers, 2200 journals, 4200 pre-print serials
- ▶ 44,000 registered authors
- ▶ Various internet-based services
- ▶ Free
- ▶ Rankings

# Why a plagiarism committee?

# Why a plagiarism committee?

1. Lack of response to plagiarism complaints

# Why a plagiarism committee?

1. Lack of response to plagiarism complaints
2. Hidden punishment (if any)



# Why a plagiarism committee?

1. Lack of response to plagiarism complaints
2. Hidden punishment (if any)
3. Ease of discovery through RePEc

# Why a plagiarism committee?

1. Lack of response to plagiarism complaints
2. Hidden punishment (if any)
3. Ease of discovery through RePEc
4. Temptation through RePEc rankings

# Why a plagiarism committee?

1. Lack of response to plagiarism complaints
  2. Hidden punishment (if any)
  3. Ease of discovery through RePEc
  4. Temptation through RePEc rankings
- ▶ Series of spectacular cases (authors and journals)

# Constitution of Committee

- ▶ Open call among registered authors

# Constitution of Committee

- ▶ Open call among registered authors
- ▶ Diverse

# Constitution of Committee

- ▶ Open call among registered authors
- ▶ Diverse
- ▶ Numerous

# Constitution of Committee

- ▶ Open call among registered authors
- ▶ Diverse
- ▶ Numerous
- ▶ Establish rules



# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author
  2. alert editor



# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author
  2. alert editor
  3. alert administration

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author
  2. alert editor
  3. alert administration
  4. exclude author from RePEc

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author
  2. alert editor
  3. alert administration
  4. exclude author from RePEc
  5. remove or watermark work

# Rules of Committee

- ▶ Publicize documented cases
- ▶ Take on undocumented cases with ties to RePEc
- ▶ Anybody can submit to any member
- ▶ Accused have two weeks to answer
- ▶ Committee needs 2/3 majority
  1. alert author
  2. alert editor
  3. alert administration
  4. exclude author from RePEc
  5. remove or watermark work
  6. make case public

# This means

- ▶ Name and shame

# This means

- ▶ Name and shame
- ▶ Show all documentation

# This means

- ▶ Name and shame
- ▶ Show all documentation
- ▶ Push authorities to act

# This means

- ▶ Name and shame
- ▶ Show all documentation
- ▶ Push authorities to act
- ▶ Increase the consequences of plagiarism



# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time
- ▶ First cases all clear-cut

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time
- ▶ First cases all clear-cut
- ▶ Lately more complex: plagiarism of ideas, self-plagiarism

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time
- ▶ First cases all clear-cut
- ▶ Lately more complex: plagiarism of ideas, self-plagiarism
- ▶ No challenges to legitimacy

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time
- ▶ First cases all clear-cut
- ▶ Lately more complex: plagiarism of ideas, self-plagiarism
- ▶ No challenges to legitimacy
- ▶ Difficult to get 2/3 majority

# The Committee's work so far

- ▶ Started in February 2011
- ▶ Increasing work with time
- ▶ First cases all clear-cut
- ▶ Lately more complex: plagiarism of ideas, self-plagiarism
- ▶ No challenges to legitimacy
- ▶ Difficult to get 2/3 majority
- ▶ Proper evidence not always forthcoming

# Published so far



- ▶ 21 cases from Committee

- ▶ 21 cases from Committee
- ▶ 25 documented plagiarism

# Published so far

- ▶ 21 cases from Committee
- ▶ 25 documented plagiarism
- ▶ 12 documented self-plagiarism

- ▶ 21 cases from Committee
- ▶ 25 documented plagiarism
- ▶ 12 documented self-plagiarism
- ▶ 23 documented fraud

- ▶ 21 cases from Committee
- ▶ 25 documented plagiarism
- ▶ 12 documented self-plagiarism
- ▶ 23 documented fraud
- ▶ 85 listed authors

- ▶ 21 cases from Committee
- ▶ 25 documented plagiarism
- ▶ 12 documented self-plagiarism
- ▶ 23 documented fraud
- ▶ 85 listed authors
- ▶ 12 repeat offenders