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Why cheat?
Murdock and Anderman (2006)

• What is my goal? (Is the goal extrinsic?)
• Can I do this task?
• What are the costs associated with cheating?
• Can I get away with it?
Values Matter
Wideman (2008)

• Is dishonesty an aspect of western culture?
• Is success mostly measured in monetary and status rewards?
• Are results more important than process?
Demographics

• *Students go click, flick and cheat* – millennials and the role of technology (Khan & Balasubramanian 2012)

• male students and undergraduate students perceive cheating as less unethical and engage more in cheating behaviors (Elias, 2009; Saulsbury et al. 2011)

• Older students cheat less (Kisamore et al. 2007)

• Students cheat less in private and religious institutions (Molnar Kletke, & Jenkel, 2009)
Sample and procedure

• 384 students completed the Academic Integrity survey self-report questionnaire (McCabe et al., 2001)
• We analyzed the proceedings of 24 academic misconduct cases (2015)
Survey results

• 60% of students think that the incidence of academic misconduct ranges from sometimes to very often
• 45% stated that they had witnessed misconduct but 98% stated they had never reported such a case
• social science students admitted to less academic misconduct than natural science students
• First year students admitted to less academic misconduct than second and third year students
• Hebrew speaking students admitted to significantly less academic misconduct than Arabic speaking students
• students who did national service admitted to significantly less academic misconduct than those who did not
Disciplinary hearing files

- Most were filed against first year students (67%).
- Most were from the science faculty (62%)
- Half of students were Hebrew speakers and half were Arabic speakers, only 16% of the students in the college are Arabic speakers
- Arab speaking students average age = 21.5 Hebrew speaking average age = 24.5
- Hebrew speaking students tended to admit guilt, while Arab speaking students denied being guilty
- Hebrew speaking students explained their behavior by saying that regulations and policies were not clear to them
- Arabic speaking students used external factors as explanations for their transgressions.
Conclusions

• It is possible and worthwhile to identify groups at risk for academic misconduct
• Understanding cultural factors are crucial
• Minority students oriented to extrinsic values (Gross 2013)
• A collective orientation rather than an individualistic outlook
• Arabic speakers, younger, less experienced less prepared and more afraid of failure and the disgrace they perceive it brings
Recommendations

• Arabic speakers particularly need more academic, practical and emotional support
• So that they can say: My goal is mastery and I can do this! I don’t need to cheat